		I	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
2	X		
3		CA, : 18-CR-00134(KAM)	
4		: :	
5	-against-	: : United States Courthouse	
6		<pre>: Brooklyn, New York :</pre>	
7 8	DONVILLE INNISS,	: : Thursday, August 23, 2018 : 12:00 p.m.	
9	Defendant.	: :	
10	:		
11	X		
12			
13	TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR ARRAIGNMENT/STATUS CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE KIYO A. MATSUMOTO UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
14			
15			
16			
17	А	PPEARANCES:	
18		ICHARD P. DONOGHUE, ESQ. nited States Attorney	
19		Eastern District of New York 271 Cadman Plaza East	
20	Brooklyn, New York 11201 BY: SYLVIA SHWEDER, ESQ.		
21	J.	Assistant United States Attorney	
22		JNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	
23	1400 New York Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20005		
24	1	BY:GERALD MOODY, JR., ESQ.	
25			

1

2 APPEARANCES: (Continued)

3

4

5

6

For the Defendant: LAW OFFICES OF GARNETT H. SULLIVAN

1080 Grand Avenue

Suite 200

South Hempstead, New York 11550

BY: GARNETT H. SULLIVAN, ESQ.

7

8

9

10

Stacy A. Mace, RMR, CRR Court Reporter:

Official Court Reporter 11

E-mail: SMaceRPR@gmail.com

12 13

Proceedings recorded by computerized stenography. Transcript produced by Computer-aided Transcription.

0000000

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SAM 0CR RMR CRR

RPR

1	(In open court.)		
2	(Judge KIYO A. MATSUMOTO takes the bench.)		
3	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: This is criminal cause for		
4	arraignment, 18-CR-134, USA versus Donville Inniss.		
5	Will the Government's attorneys state your		
6	appearances, please.		
7	MS. SHWEDER: Sylvia Shweder for the United States.		
8	Good afternoon, Your Honor.		
9	And with me is Gerald Moody, Junior, from the United		
10	States Department of Justice, and he is here also appearing on		
11	this case.		
12	THE COURT: All right, thank you. Good afternoon.		
13	And for the defense?		
14	MR. SULLIVAN: For the defendant, Garnett Sullivan,		
15	1080 Grand Avenue, South Hempstead, New York, appearing for		
16	Mr. Inniss.		
17	Good afternoon, Judge.		
18	THE COURT: Good afternoon.		
19	Mr. Inniss, do you speak and understand English		
20	without difficulty?		
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.		
22	THE COURT: All right, you may have a seat if you		
23	are more comfortable, sir.		
24	Mr. Inniss, the purpose of this proceeding is to		
25	advise you that a grand jury in the Eastern District of		

New York has returned an Indictment and a Superseding
Indictment charging you with felony violations of federal law,
United States federal law.

You have a right to counsel and if at any time you wish to consult with your counsel, you certainly may do so.

At this time I would like to advise you that, first of all, the grand jury returned an Indictment in or about March of this year charging you with conspiracy to launder money between August 2015 and April 2016, and two counts of money laundering on August 17th, 2015 and April 18th, 2016.

In addition, the original underlying Indictment seeks criminal forfeiture as to Counts 1 through 3 seeking to forfeit any proceeds that were either involved with the charged offenses or are proceeds of the charged offenses.

Now, the underlying Indictment charges that you in your capacity as a Minister of Industry in a country of Barbados participated in a scheme to receive bribes and that you laundered those proceeds in the United States.

Now, I do not know whether you received a copy of the original Indictment, sir. Did you?

MR. SULLIVAN: We have, Judge.

THE COURT: And did you review those charges with your attorney, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand the charges, sir --

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 2 THE COURT: -- in the original Indictment? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 4 THE COURT: I will ask you now, although it may not be necessary, but I would just like to make sure that I cover 5 all the bases, do you wish to enter a plea of guilty or not 6 7 guilty to the Indictment, sir? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Not guilty, ma'am. 9 THE COURT: All right, sir. Now, I will also then 10 proceed to arraign you on the Superseding Indictment. The Superseding Indictment, I believe, was issued 11 12 recently. Do we have a date for that? 13 MS. SHWEDER: It was issued yesterday, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: All right. So again, sir, the grand jury, a grand jury in the 15 16 Eastern District returned a Superseding Indictment, which charges you, again, with fraudulent schemes resulting in 17 18 proceeds that were laundered in the United States. 19 Count One charges you with conspiracy to launder 20 money between August 2015 and April 2016; and Counts 2 and 3 21 charge you with money laundering; Count Two on August 17th, 22 2015 in which a wire transfer in the amount of \$16,536.73 was 23 transferred from a Bermuda company account in Bermuda to a 24 bank in New York in the name of New York Dental Company.

25

Now, in addition, Count Three charges that on

April 18th, 2016 a wire transfer in the amount of \$20,000 was 1 2 transferred from a Bermuda company account in Bermuda to a bank account in Elmont, New York, that is within the Eastern 3 4 District, in the name of the New York Dental Company through a 5 branch located in Brooklyn. In addition, the Superseding Indictment similarly asks or seeks a criminal forfeiture of 6 7 all proceeds or instrumentalities of the offenses charged in 8 Counts One through Three. And they seek a monetary judgment 9 against you if those proceeds cannot be located. 10 Sir, do you understand what I have advised you about 11 the Superseding Indictment? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Would you like me to read the 14 Superseding Indictment to you or do you waive reading? 15 No, I waive that, Your Honor. THE DEFENDANT: 16 THE COURT: Have you had an opportunity to ask your 17 counsel and consult with your counsel about the charges set 18 forth in the Superseding Indictment? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: And do you wish to enter a plea of 21 guilty or not guilty to the Superseding Indictment? 22 Not guilty, Your Honor. THE DEFENDANT: 23 THE COURT: Sir, we will enter pleas of not guilty 24 on the charges in the Superseding Indictment. 25

Now, has Mr. Inniss also been before the Court on a

1 bail hearing?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SHWEDER: My understanding is that he did while he was in the Middle District of Florida before he was removed up here.

THE COURT: All right.

Does the Government have any objection to Mr. Inniss's bail conditions?

MS. SHWEDER: The only thing is that the Government would request that an additional bail condition be added that he not be permitted to communicate with his co-conspirators.

And also, the defense would like to request that his bail

conditions be expanded to the Southern District of Florida.

The Government has no objection to the bail conditions being expanded to the Southern District of Florida.

And I believe, but I will let the defense attorney say, whether he had no objection to the defendant not being permitted to communicate with his co-conspirators.

MR. SULLIVAN: One of the problems with that, Judge, is it depends on who the co-conspirators are because they are not necessarily identified.

MS. SHWEDER: The people that --

MR. SULLIVAN: Some might be family members, I'm not sure.

MS. SHWEDER: Oh, the people that are not named in the Superseding Indictment and Indictment, but that are

1 described in the Superseding Indictment.

MR. SULLIVAN: And does that include the --

MS. SHWEDER: It does not include family members.

MR. SULLIVAN: No, I was going to refer to the proprietor of the dental company. Is he specifically --

MS. SHWEDER: Yes, it would also include that person.

THE COURT: You mean the prohibition against communication would include the dental company person?

MS. SHWEDER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you have any objection?

MR. SULLIVAN: With respect to the dental company in particular, this was a close friend of Mr. Inniss and is a close friend. I mean they had a friendship extending probably all their life. It seems somewhat unreasonable that a condition is that they now -- their friendship has now expired as a result.

THE COURT: Well, to the extent that the dental company, its activities and use are described in the Superseding Indictment, it does seem to me to be a reasonable request to order that Mr. Inniss, as a condition of his release, not communicate with the principal of that entity and that he not have indirect communications through intermediaries or family members, that he not have communications orally, in writing, directly or indirectly or

1 by any means, with co-conspirators or the proprietor of the 2 dental company. MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, would that condition 3 4 preclude me as his attorney? 5 THE COURT: Well, I don't know whether the Government has a view with regard to co-conspirators who may 6 7 have a joint defense agreement. 8 MS. SHWEDER: I think that if there is a joint 9 defense agreement I don't think that we are able to prohibit that. 10 THE COURT: Well, usually if there is a joint 11 12 defense agreement among the named defendants in the 13 Superseding Indictment, usually attorneys have to be present. 14 I mean otherwise with their clients, if there are going to be discussions, otherwise unsupervised discussions among 15 16 co-conspirators is generally not permitted. 17 MR. SULLIVAN: So can I just -- I just want to be 18 Does that preclude me from communicating with him, even if not about the case? 19 20 The reason I'm asking is this is someone that I 21 actually know. 22 MS. SHWEDER: Oh, no. I mean if it's not about the 23 case --

SAM OCR RMR CRR RPR

MS. SHWEDER: If it's not about the case, I don't

MR. SULLIVAN: I just don't want --

24

```
see why Mr. Garnett wouldn't be permitted to speak with him.
1
 2
              THE COURT: No, I mean I don't have any issue with
 3
    that.
 4
              MR. SULLIVAN: Okay.
              THE COURT: If you see him socially and want to
 5
    exchange pleasantries, as long as you do not talk to this
 6
7
    individual about the case, that should be permitted.
8
              MR. SULLIVAN: Okay, fine.
9
              THE COURT: Do we have a copy of the bond that was
10
    signed in the Middle District of Florida? You do, okay.
11
              So the Government has requested two additional
12
    conditions.
13
              Has the passport been surrendered?
14
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
15
              MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, Judge, that was done in the
16
    Middle District of Florida.
17
              THE COURT: All right. So does he carry only a
18
    passport from Barbados?
19
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
20
              THE COURT: Okay. And the bond has been executed,
21
    sir? Has the bond been --
22
              MR. SULLIVAN: I believe yes, Judge, it was.
23
              THE COURT: All right. So what I am going to
24
    suggest we do -- were there suretors on this bond?
25
              Were there other suretors on this bond?
```

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, there was his wife, Judge. 1 Ι 2 believe she was the only suretor. 3 THE COURT: Would she be willing to accept these 4 additional conditions? 5 MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, Judge. THE COURT: All right. I am thinking maybe the best 6 7 thing to do would be to either have a new bond signed or I add 8 these additional conditions that were set by the judge in the 9 Middle District of Florida. 10 How would the Government and defense counsel like to 11 proceed? 12 I would suggest since his wife is not MR. SULLIVAN: 13 here, she is in Tampa, I would suggest that you just amend the 14 conditions that were set. 15 THE COURT: I mean that is fine to just amend them. 16 There is a document. 17 Has the Government seen this document with the conditions of release signed by the Magistrate Judge in the 18 19 Middle District of Florida? 20 MS. SHWEDER: I don't think I've seen it, but I 21 think that my colleague, Mr. Moody, has seen it. 22 Your Honor, may I raise something else at this time 23 that we just noticed in reading this report? 24 THE COURT: Yes.

MS. SHWEDER: My understanding is, and Your Honor

```
has the bail conditions in front of her, but the bail
1
 2
    conditions were secured also by a property in Florida. And
 3
    upon reading this report now, we understand that that property
 4
    is being sold.
5
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              No, Your Honor.
              MS. SHWEDER: If that's not true, then I think it
 6
 7
    might be --
8
              MR. SULLIVAN: It's their only home.
9
              THE DEFENDANT: It was a property --
10
              MS. SHWEDER: Oh, in Barbados.
11
              MR. SULLIVAN: Correct.
12
              MS. SHWEDER: Oh, I'm sorry. Okay, I'm sorry,
13
    misunderstood, Your Honor.
14
              THE COURT: Well, what I am going to do is I will
    approve and incorporate this order from the Middle District of
15
16
    Florida into the conditions in this case, and I will add the
    two other conditions proposed by the Government and agreed to
17
18
    by Mr. Inniss.
19
              So let me do that, and I will have the parties sign
20
    it.
21
              MR. SULLIVAN:
                             Judge, may I -- I notice, I just
22
    received the report from the Pretrial -- the Pretrial Services
    report.
23
24
              THE COURT: Yes.
```

MR. SULLIVAN: And I notice that it indicates that

we respectfully recommend that the Court remove the location monitoring condition and place the defendant in third-party custodianship of his wife and that no contact with the co-conspirators. I would ask if the Court would consider that provision.

MS. SHWEDER: The Government would oppose removing the electronic monitoring. The defendant is -- does have a citizenship with another country and is only a legal permanent resident of the United States and by permitting him to remain on house arrest, I think that's been pretty generous by the Government already.

If there are certain conditions that, you know, certain things that he needs to do, of course we would be amenable to hearing if there are certain reasons that he needs to be out during certain periods of time or something like that, but we would request that the electronic monitoring remain intact.

(Pause.)

MS. SHWEDER: Oh, he is not on house arrest.

THE COURT: State your name for the record, sir.

PTSO ALARIA: Officer Michael Alaria of Pretrial

Services.

THE COURT: Thank you.

PTSO ALARIA: Good afternoon.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

```
PTSO ALARIA: Your Honor, I apologize for the late
1
 2
    notice here. Just to update the Court, the Southern District
 3
    of Florida released him on what we would call an open GPS.
 4
    he really is on a bracelet, but he has no restrictions as far
    as his movements. So we would respectfully request that he
5
    have some kind of curfew as directed by Pretrial Services.
 6
7
              THE COURT: Who is going to supervise him, the
8
    Middle District?
9
              PTSO ALARIA: Sorry, I believe it's the Middle
10
    District of Florida, sorry.
11
              THE COURT: All right. I am just trying to find the
12
    whole reference to the GPS monitoring.
13
              MR. SULLIVAN: It is on page --
14
              THE COURT: Where is the recommendation that you
    were referring to, sir?
15
16
              MR. SULLIVAN: It's on the second page of the
    Pretrial Services report, Your Honor, page 2, at the bottom of
17
18
    page 2 where it says "recommendation."
19
              THE COURT: All right, thank you.
20
               (Pause.)
21
              THE COURT:
                          So is it the view then of Pretrial
22
    Services in the Middle District and in this district that
    Mr. Inniss not have GPS?
23
24
              PTSO ALARIA: We didn't think it was appropriate,
25
    Your Honor.
```

THE COURT: Because?

PTSO ALARIA: I think, perhaps, in this case one thought process might have been that because he had to travel to this district to face the charges there might have been some concern about him not getting here as he should have, which would have been the reason to place that condition on him.

It was our understanding from the officer who has been supervising him in Florida that they did not think it was necessary to have that condition in place.

THE COURT: Well, they also state in their Pretrial Services report that he does present a risk of flight given that he has a lack of employment ties in this community and he is a citizen of Barbados. He no longer possesses a Barbados passport, but they do note that he has engaged in foreign travel. And they also ask that his travel be restricted to the Middle District of Florida and the Eastern District of New York.

And we are expanding that to the Southern District of Florida, correct?

MS. SHWEDER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. But I am not seeing a reason, given that he's got citizenship in another country and was --

PTSO ALARIA: Your Honor, that's fine.

THE COURT: -- and a minister in another country,
that we should not be concerned about contacts in other
countries and a possibility that he could leave our
jurisdiction, the jurisdiction of the Court.

PTSO ALARIA: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So I would continue GPS. He could
arrange with his Pretrial Services officer for time out for

arrange with his Pretrial Services officer for time out for employment opportunities. I don't even know if he is allowed to work here?

MR. SULLIVAN: He is, Judge. He's a permanent resident of this country and he has a home in Tampa.

THE COURT: All right, but he has no employment here?

MR. SULLIVAN: No, because he was living in Barbados and he came here -- obviously, he's going to be looking for employment at this stage --

THE COURT: All right.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SULLIVAN: -- because he's going to be here.

THE COURT: So he can always arrange time out with his Pretrial Services officer for worship, for employment opportunities, for travel to the Eastern District to appear in court or other advanced noticed reasons that he could give to his officer down there.

PTSO ALARIA: So is he then being placed on home detention or -- there are levels of being on house arrest.

There could be home incarceration, which would be your most restricted; and then home detention, which would allow for more activity, such as the ones you explained; or there is something called a curfew, which would be the least restrictive form which would allow the officer to lay out set hours each day where he is free to move within his travel restricted area.

MR. SULLIVAN: Judge, if I may, and I know this is the Court's authority and the Court's right, certainly, but I think all the U.S. Attorney was asking for, the only additional condition they were seeking beyond the conditions that were placed by the Middle District of Florida was no contacts with co-conspirators. I think that was the only additional condition.

So what I would ask is that the Court continue the condition that was made by the Middle District and, of course, the no contact with co-conspirators. And then to expand the travel restrictions -- sorry, the travel location to include the Southern District of Florida, which the People has agreed to.

So the only change to the conditions would be that he could now travel within the Southern District of Florida.

And secondly, that there be no contacts with co-conspirators.

Because I read the Pretrial report, and I know my client had asked me about it, and it mentioned that they

didn't think the monitor was necessary, I decided to ask the
Court about that, but I wouldn't like to see enhanced
limitations.

THE COURT: All right, so these will be additional conditions, G and H. G will be travel will be permitted to the Southern District of Florida, in addition to the Middle District of Florida and the Eastern District of New York.

PTSO ALARIA: Your Honor, we have a blank bond here from our district. I don't know if that's necessary, if you wanted to --

THE COURT: Well, I was just going to add additional language to the bond.

PTSO ALARIA: That's fine.

THE COURT: Rather than try to take everything in the Middle District bond and put it in the Eastern District bond --

PTSO ALARIA: Fair enough.

THE COURT: -- I think it will be easier for me to so order the Middle District bond and add these additional conditions.

(Pause.)

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: What I would like to do is have

Mr. Inniss and the Government and Mr. Inniss's counsel initial

and date the additional conditions. So let me hand that up to
the parties.

Yes, ma'am.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SHWEDER: And then, Your Honor, if it would be appropriate that we rely on Mr. Garnett Sullivan to relate that to the wife who is the suretor on the bond.

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes. Yes, we will certainly do it.

THE COURT: Counsel, if she has any objection, you need to notify me right away.

MR. SULLIVAN: Most certainly I will, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. Maybe just have him sign it actually, it might be better.

MR. SULLIVAN: Sign it, okay.

THE COURT: Just sign and date.

(Pause.)

MS. SHWEDER: So just for clarity for the Pretrial Services officer here in EDNY, we understand that he's still on open GPS. So he has no curfew or anything like that, it's just that he's on electronic monitoring, but it's --

THE COURT: Yes.

PTSO ALARIA: And just to clarify, obviously, if the defendant fails to comply, the officer also has the authority to restrict that. So it is as directed, that could go either way.

THE COURT: No, I think the idea was to make sure that the Pretrial Services officer has the ability to know where Mr. Inniss is.

1 MS. SHWEDER: That is amenable to the Government, 2 Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Okay, so I am going to so order this. 4 I note for the record that Mr. Inniss, his lawyer, Mr. Sullivan, and the Assistant U.S. Attorney has executed the 5 additional conditions. I will so order this and you may have 6 7 copies of this. 8 And, Mr. Inniss, as I said, if Mrs. Inniss has any 9 objections to these additional conditions, she should notify 10 us by next week. Let's say, by next Tuesday if we do not hear 11 from her we will assume that she accepts these conditions. 12 But I am going to give you a copy and what I will ask you to 13 do is just ask you to have her sign this same bond and you can 14 send a copy back to the Court, to this Court --15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: -- with her signature and we will make 17 it part of the record. 18 MR. SULLIVAN: Would I file that on ECF, Judge? 19 THE COURT: Yes. Actually, yes, your attorney can 20 do that. She will have to find a place on this Thank you. 21 very crowded page to sign. 22 MS. SHWEDER: And then to continue, Your Honor, if 23 Your Honor is ready. 24 THE COURT: Yes, we probably need to have a status

date for the next co-conspirator appearance.

MS. SHWEDER: Correct, Your Honor, and we have one other ministerial kind of matter to address as well.

THE COURT: Sure.

MS. SHWEDER: We have started to turn over discovery as of today, which I will file the discovery letter on ECF after this appearance, but to Mr. Garnett Sullivan, regarding the matter. We have so far, I don't believe included anything that has included PII, personally identifying information, as is the practice of our office. Further discovery that we disclose, it just might not be possible to be able to redact all the PII because they will include bank statements and things like that. So we have given Mr. Garnett Sullivan a copy of our standard PII stipulation regarding additional discovery and ask that the parties all sign that and that the Court, if it is amenable to Mr. Sullivan and the defendant, that the Court so order it.

So --

MR. SULLIVAN: We had discussed it, I just haven't had an opportunity to discuss it with my client. I could do that.

THE COURT: Do you want to do it now or do you want to give them time to review it?

MR. SULLIVAN: I have reviewed it, Judge, and I did discuss it with him, but I didn't actually go through it.

THE COURT: All right, we can take five minutes, if

1 you want. 2 MR. SULLIVAN: All right. Thank you, Judge. 3 MS. SHWEDER: Thank you. 4 (Pause.) THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: October 23rd for the next 5 6 status? 7 MS. SHWEDER: That's fine for the Government. 8 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Would that work for the defense? 9 10 MR. SULLIVAN: That's fine, yes. 11 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: At 12 noon. 12 MS. SHWEDER: And, Your Honor, the parties have been 13 engaged already in some plea negotiations and the Government 14 does believe that there is a good possibility that this could be resolved short of trial. So the Government does ask that 15 16 the time be excluded between now and October 23rd. 17 THE COURT: All right, let me just advise the 18 defendant of his rights under the Speedy Trial Act. Mr. Inniss. 19 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 21 THE COURT: Sir, under the Speedy Trial Act, which 22 is a federal law, you have the right to be brought to trial 23 within 70 days of the date of your arraignment. In other 24 words, starting today in the next 70 days you have the right

to be brought to trial. If the Government does not bring you

to trial within the 70 days, you can ask me to dismiss the charges against you.

The Government has represented that you and your attorney and the Government have been engaging in preliminary plea negotiations. In addition, the Government will be turning over discovery to your lawyer so that you may review that with him and think about your options, which include a trial or a plea or motions that may, in part, affect this case.

The Government and, I believe, you may be interested in asking me to exclude time from your speedy trial rights, which would have the effect of putting the speedy trial clock in a stopped position. So that 70-day period will not be running in order to give you and your attorney time to review the discovery and to engage in discussions amongst yourselves and with the Government as to whether or not you want to dispose of the case short of a trial.

Do you understand what I have told you so far, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, let me ask counsel to review the application to exclude time with you so that you may decide whether or not you wish to join the application.

(Pause.)

MR. SULLIVAN: Judge, I have discussed that with him previously and he is agreeing to the waiver.

I beg your pardon? 1 THE COURT: 2 MR. SULLIVAN: I have discussed the speedy trial 3 waiver and the speedy trial rights with him and he has 4 executed the waiver. 5 THE COURT: All right, thank you. I note for the record that Mr. Inniss has, his 6 7 counsel has indicated that he understands his rights under the 8 Speedy Trial Act and he does join in the application to 9 exclude time. I find that the interest of justice will allow 10 me to grant the application to exclude time between today, 11 August 23rd, and the next date, which will be October 12 the 23rd. I will see you both, both the defense and the 13 Government, in court at that time. 14 Ms. Jackson, what time was it? 15 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: 12 noon. 16 THE COURT: 12 noon. 17 In addition, I have signed the Stipulation and Order 18 regarding the use, retention and protection of discovery 19 materials containing the personal identifiable information and 20 other private information. We will make copies for the 21 parties, and please maintain and be aware of the conditions. 22 Is there anything else I need to address today? 23 MR. SULLIVAN: No, Your Honor, not from the defense. 24 THE COURT: All right, do you have a copy of the

additional conditions of release, Mr. Inniss?

SAM OCR RMR CRR RPR